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#### Abstract

Study on pedigree information and genetic diversity is essential for effective management of hatcheries. In this study we used six microsatellite loci for analysis of offspring parentage from two sets of brooders, to evaluate the genetic diversity and parental contribution in the production of progeny of Hypophthalmichthis molitrix. The effective number of alleles and the heterozygosity for parents and offspring was ( 3.63 and 4.38) and ( 0.943 and 0.960 ) for two groups, respectively. The contribution of the females and males to the offspring for the two groups was $61 \%$ and $91 \%$, respectively. Females mated with 2-6 males, and males fertilized 2-5 females, revealing multiple paternity in this species. Our results revealed that the ratio of males to females plays an important role in parental contribution to offspring production. *Corresponding author's email: ejorfi@gmail.com


in aquatic breeding programs (Hedrick, 2004). Genetic diversity, particularly in mammals, birds, and fish is related to the effective population size (Horreo et al., 2008). A sharp decline in genetic diversity would impair population, and even lead to the extinction of species (Machado-Schiaffino et al., 2007).

There are many determinative factors which can influence the actual participation of broodstocks during mating in generations. These factors include the size of the broodstock in the hatcheries, contribution of males and females to reproduction (due to unequal sex ratios or differences in reproductive success between them), the criteria of broodstock selection, and gamete collection and the way of encountering them (Tiou, 2010; Gall, 1988). Executive protocols in hatcheries play an effective role in reducing effective reproduction size of aquatic organisms and the genetic diversity of their offspring.

Genetic diversity in a population is dependent on the diversity of alleles at different genetic loci. Genetic diversity can be assessed through the evaluation of the number and the distribution pattern of alleles among individuals and populations (Abdul-Muneer, 2014). So far, several molecular techniques have been used to examine genetic changes in natural populations, in breeding stocks and in their interactions (Subasinghe et al., 2003; Yudha et al., 2012; Ruzzante et al., 2016).

Microsatellites are noncoding, highly polymorphic, codominant DNA markers with short nucleotide repetitions ( $1-6 \mathrm{bp}$ ) that are powerful tools to evaluate genetic diversion
(Kantrartzi, 2013). Microsatellites have several advantages, such as good frequency and distribution at the genomic level (O'Connell \& Wright 1997), high diversity and polymorphism, codominant inheritance, compliance with Mendelian laws (DeWoody \& Avise 2000), lack of functional features in the genome (in most cases), need for smalls amounts of DNA samples, and the applicability of microsatellite primers for closely-related species (Briñez et al., 2011).

Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) have been used in various population genetics studies, for instance; study on the effects of domestication on the genetic structure of populations of Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) (Koljonen et al., 2005), turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) (Coughlan et al., 1998), brown trout (Salmo trutta) (Hansen, 2002, Was \& Wenne 2002), common carp (Cyprinus carpio) (Bartfai et al., 2003) and black tiger Shrimp (Penaeus monodon) (Xu et al., 2001). Majority of them revealed weak genetic diversity of hatchery stocks.

The application of SSRs for determining the relationship between brooders and progenies for parentage assignment has emerged simultaneously with a software revolution in the life sciences and the development of statistical approaches (Liu and Cordes 2004). Application of microsatellites includes assessment genetic parameters for desirable traits during breeding (Herbinger et al., 1995; Vandeputte et al., 2004), evaluation of inbreeding in hatcheries (Letcher \& King, 2001), determining the exact number of parents
(Frost et al., 2006), and estimating differences in individuals (Norris et al., 2000.; Jerry et al., 2004.; Liu et al., 2012).

Silver carp (Hypophthalmichthys molitrix from Cyprinidae family, is one of the most important species in aquaculture from China. Because of the achievement in captive reproduction through hormone injections, Silver carp introduced to many parts of the world (Kolar et al., 2005) and its Commercially reproduction and farming spread rapidly. Production of silver carp has been reached over 85-170 thousand tons recently, the first place of production of freshwater fish in Iran (FIGIS 2016).

Almost $80 \%$ of fingerlings are supplied by private hatcheries, while the rest comes from governmental hatcheries that belong to Iran's Fishery Organization.

Reduction in genetic variability as a consequence of inbreeding in the populations of H. moliterix led to a low production of fish, to an increase in offspring mortality and deformity, as well as to imbalanced ratio of males and females in hatcheries. Despite the overall growth of cyprinid farming in Iran, there is still a relatively low production rate of fish, in comparison to other Asian countries (Motallebi \& Sharif-rohani, 2011). Possibly due to genetic variability reduction, in Iran death and deformities of juveniles of silver carp (Mortezaei, 2006), and imbalanced ratio of males and females in hatcheries (five females per male), have become a major concern in cyprinid production in captivity. Evaluating the reproductive success rate of each parent provides a good picture of the distribution of genetic diversity between two generations.

Thus, in this study we examined genetic diversity indicators between silver carp progeny and their parents to evaluate the effectiveness of semi-natural breeding method as a common type of reproduction of this species in Iran. We used a number of preintroduced microsatellites for parentage assignment, and then calculated the success rate of each parent to contribute to the breeding process.

## Materials and methods

## Breeding and sampling

The experiments were performed in a private hatchery, named Abzigostaran, in Khouzestan ( $32^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 03^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{N}, 48^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) province of Iran. Two independent groups with different sex ratios were set. In the first group (named Semi1), 8 females and seven males with an average weight of $5320 \pm 438.16 \mathrm{~g}$, and $4 \pm$ 192.72 g , respectively, were used. In the second group (Semi2) 8 females and 10 males with an average weight of $5313.75 \pm 250.81 \mathrm{~g}$ and 6220 $\pm 496.3 \mathrm{~g}$, respectively, were used. Parents were prepared for spawning using the standard method in warm-water fishes, which involves two rounds of hormone injections of pituitary gland extract (Horvath et al., 2015). Females were injected intramuscularly with $2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} \mathrm{PG}$, $10 \%$ of which $(0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ was injected 12 h before the second injection ( $1.8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ). Simultaneously males were injected with 0.5 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ PG. Then, they were transferred to $50-$ $60 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ circular tanks. Running water (0.2$0.3 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) toward the center of the pool where an outlet pipe leading to the egg collection chamber, was regularly checked and transferred
in to the incubators. Spawning started $\sim 8 \mathrm{~h}$ after the second injection, and lasted for 6-7 h. Fin clips of all brooders were taken and preserved in $95 \%$ alcohol. Fertilized eggs were incubated at $25-26^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Approximately $24-36 \mathrm{~h}$ later, the larvae were hatched and manual feeding was performed from the third day after fertilization. At days 5-6 of the incubation period, larvae (about 100 larvae/incubator) were sampled; they were preserved in $95 \%$ alcohol and keep in a fridge until DNA.

## Analysis of the sperm quality

Male brooders were sampled for evaluating sperm motility and concentration according to Rahman, Rahman \& Hasan (2011), using a hemocytometer (Depth 0.1 mm ). Sperm was diluted 1000 times in a $0.3 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$ solution. After 10 min (to allow for sperm sedimentation), the number of spermatozoa were counted in 16 cells and calculated as follows:
Sperm density $(\mathrm{ml})=1000 \times$ number of counted sperm $/\left[\right.$ area $\left(\mathrm{mm}^{2}\right) \times$ chamber depth $(\mathrm{mm}) \times$ dilution ratio]

To evaluate sperm motility, the total period of sperm motility was measured, until $95 \%$ of the spermatozoa were immotile. For this purpose a light microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i) was used at $400 \times$ magnification. Sperm was diluted 1:10 in $0.3 \% \mathrm{NaCl}$. Measurements were performed twice for each sample.

## DNA extraction

DNA was extracted from 160 larvae (80 larvae from each group, Semi1 and Semi2), and from fin clips of 33 males and females using Chelex (Chelex® 100, Sigma-Aldrich) (Estoup et al., 1996) and the phenol-chloroform method (Hillis, 1996). The concentration of total DNA was estimated from absorbance readings at 260 nm (Nanodrop2000). DNA quality was verified by measuring the A260 nm/A280 nm ratio (> 1.8 ) and the A230 nm/A260 nm ratio (> 2), and by gel electrophoresis. DNA samples were stored at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until analysis.

## Microsatellite analysis

Ten primers were selected for this study from a set of microsatellite markers previously introduced for silver carp (Gheyas et al., 2006) (Table 1). All PCRs were performed using a BioRad (T100) thermal cycler. PCR amplification was performed in $10 \mu \mathrm{l}$ with $1 \times$ PCR Buffer (MasterMix, Ampliqon) containing 1.5 mM MgCl 2 , 0.4 mM dNTP, 1unit Taq DNA polymerase, $0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each primer and 20 ng of extracted DNA template. The following program was used: $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 min , followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at $94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 s , annealing at $60-64^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (depending on the primer) for 50 s , and extension at $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 50 s , followed by a final step of $72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min . PCR products were electrophoresed using $6 \%$ polyacrylamide gels for 4 h at 180-200 V, followed by silver staining (Benbouza et al., 2006).

Table 1- Microsatellite primers for the 10 loci used in parentage assignment. The first two columns indicate the loci name and their accession numbers, respectively. Sequences correspond to forward $(F)$ and reverse $(R)$ primers used for microsatellite genotyping of H. molitrix. Tm, annealing temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

| Locus name | Accession no. | Sequence (5'-3') | Tm ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ ) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Hmo11 | AM086451 | F: CTG CTT GAT CAC AGG GTT TG <br> R:CCT TAC AGA TAG ACA GAT ATT CAG |
| Hmo13 | AM086452 | F:AAA CCT GGA AGA TGT TCA CTG AAT <br> R:GCG CGA GTG TTT GAA GTC TG | 60 |
| Hmo25 | AM086454 | F:TGT GCT GCA TTT TCA CTT CA <br> R:TTC TTA CTA TCC ACA TTT GTT GTA TG | 60 |
| Hmo26 | AM086455 | F:GAT TTC AGG CAC ATT GCT TAT CT <br> R:GAG CGT TTC TCA TTT GTA CTT ATT TT | 60 |
| Hmo33 | AM086458 | F:GTG CAG CAG TAT GTG AAT CAG GAC AC <br> R:GTG CTT CGG GAT ACC ACA CTC TTG | 60 |
| Hmo34 | AM086459 | F:GTT CCC TGA GGC TTT ACA A <br> R:GGG TCA TTA TCC TCT CAC TTT | 60 |
| Hmo36 | AM086460 | F:ATC GGA GGA GTG CTG TTC AGT CTG GA <br> R:ACG ATT GTT GCC GAA CGG GTT GAT | 64 |
| Hmo37 | AM086461 | F:CAC AGC GGA GGG GCA AAG GTC <br> R:GGA CGC CGT GTG ACT GGA GAT TTT | 64 |
| Hmo39 | AM086462 | F:ACA GTT ATG AGC TAG CAG CAG TTT CT <br> R:TAC GTC GTA ATA CCA GTG TAA TAC CC | 60 |
| Hmo40 | AM086463 | F:CAG GCA GGC ATC CAC ATA GAG AAT C <br> R:AGA AGA AAT CTG ATC GTC ACC TAT GA | 64 |

## Data analysis

The size of the alleles obtained for each sample was calculated using Gel Scanner program (ver. 1.3). The allele number, allele frequency, observed heterozygosity (Ho) and expected heterozygosity (He), were calculated using GenAlEx (Peakall \& Smouse, 2006, 2012). The Cervus Ver. 3.0.7 program (Marshall, 2014) was used to estimate polymorphic information content, null alleles frequency, and exclusionary power indicators, including Excl1P (mean power assignment for a parent), Excl2 P (mean power assignment for one parent and the other parent of the opposite sex with a given genotype), and Excl-PP (mean power assignment for a pair parent), which are required to determine the assignment power of
parents. According to relations A and B , the calculated values for the power exclusion (PE) of loci were used to determine the combined exclusion power (CPE) of parents (Vandeputte, Kocour, Mauger et al., 2004): relation A, $\mathrm{PE}=$ 1-Excl; relation $\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{CPE}=1-$ Multiplication of PEs for all loci.

Parentage assignment analysis was performed in Cervus Ver. 3.0.7 (Marshall, 2014), using the maximum likelihood method with the most potential exclusion power. The natural logarithm likelihood ratio is called LOD. Through 10,000 simulations in the Cervus program, the distinctive limit was generated in the form of a LOD score between the first and second possible parents with confidence levels greater than $95 \%$ and lower than $90 \%$. From
this analysis the number of larvae produced by both male and female parents, and consequently the contribution rate of each parent to the process of reproduction, was determined. Chisquare analysis was used to determine significant differences in contribution between brooders ( $p<0.05$ ).

The effective genetic size of the population (Ne) was calculated to examine the effects of family sizes according to this formula (Chevassus 1989): $\mathrm{Ne}=[4(\mathrm{n}-2)] /[(\mathrm{Ks}+\mathrm{Vs} /$ $\mathrm{Ks})+(\mathrm{Kd}+\mathrm{Vd} / \mathrm{Kd})]-2$; where n is the sample size of the larvae, Ks , and Kd are the mean number of larvae produced per sire and dam, respectively, and Vs and Vd are the variances of family sizes produced by sire and dam (Falconer, 1989). Assuming random family samples within equally sized families, the effective population size of brooders is calculated by the following formula (Falconer 1989): $\mathrm{Ne}=4(\mathrm{Nm} \times \mathrm{Nf}) /(\mathrm{Nm}+\mathrm{Nf})$; where Ne is the effective population size of parents, and Nm , and Nf are the number of male and female parents participating in a mating group, respectively.

## Results

## Genetic diversity

Analysis of genetic diversity in parents using eight microsatellite loci showed the lowest number of alleles in loci Hmo11, Hmo39 and Hmo40 (4 alleles), and the highest number of alleles in loci Hmo37 locus (10 alleles) (Table 2). The mean number of alleles per locus was 6.25 . The highest rates of expected heterozygosity were obtained at loci Hmo37 (0.860) and Hmo34 (0.796), while the lowest rate was seen at Hmo 40 locus (0.414). The maximum and minimum rates of polymorphism information content were obtained at loci $\mathrm{Hmo37}$ (0.845) and Hmo40 (0.378), respectively. The null allele frequency was negative in all loci except in the Hmo40 locus (0.418) (Table 2). After computing the loci exclusion power, six loci were selected to assign the parents (Hmo13, Hmo25, Hmo25, Hmo26, Hmo37, and Hmo39). The CPE of parents for all studied loci were Excl-1P $=0.959$, Excl-2P $=$ -0.996 , and Excl-PP $=0.999$. For selected loci in this study, the factors estimated as $0.943,0.992$ and 0.999 , respectively.

Table 2. N.A., Number and size of alleles; Ho, observed heterozygosity; He, expected heterozygosity; PIC, polymorphic information content; N.U., null alleles; Excl-1P, Excl-2P, and Excl-PP, average exclusion probabilities

| Locus | N.A. | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{He}_{\text {e }}$ | PIC | N.U. | Excl-1P | Excl-2P | Excl-PP | Size of alleles (bp) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hmol1 | 4 | 1 | 0.631 | 0.567 | -0.268 | 0.533 | 0.366 | 0.213 | 142-148 |
| *Hmol3 | 6 | 0.805 | 0.696 | 0.653 | -0.068 | 0.652 | 0.463 | 0.287 | 136-162 |
| *Hmo25 | 6 | 1 | 0.686 | 0.643 | -0.230 | 0.643 | 0.454 | 0.279 | 134-144 |
| *Hmo26 | 9 | 1 | 0.767 | 0.739 | -0.154 | 0.767 | 0.573 | 0.391 | 146-220 |
| *Hmo34 | 7 | 1 | 0.796 | 0.768 | -0.122 | 0.791 | 0.606 | 0.428 | 114-128 |
| *Hmo37 | 10 | 0.976 | 0.860 | 0.845 | -0.068 | 0.887 | 0.723 | 0.564 | 148-194 |
| *Hmo39 | 4 | 1 | 0.707 | 0.653 | -0.174 | 0.621 | 0.449 | 0.28 | 128-140 |
| Hmo40 | 4 | 0.366 | 0.414 | 0.378 | 0.041 | 0.359 | 0.22 | 0.087 | 208-238 |
| Means (all studied loci) | 6.25 | 0.893 | 0.695 | 0.655 | -0.131 | 0.999 | 0.996 | 0.959 | - |
| Means (Selected loci) | 7 | 0.963 | 0.752 | 0.716 | -0.137 | 0.999 | 0.992 | 0.943 | - |

## Comparison of genetic diversity of parents

 and offspringGenetic diversity indices obtained for the larvae in groups Semi1 and Semi2, and for the contributing parents in each group, are presented in Table 3. The numbers of alleles were identical in all loci of parents and larvae, except for Hmo 25 , where one allele less is observed in the larvae (Semi2).

The mean values for $\mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Ho}$, and polymorphic information content in the parents of group Semi1 were $0.714,0.962$, and 0.673 , respectively, while the equivalent values in their larvae were $0.693 \pm 0.034$,
$0.925 \pm 0.039$, and $0.646 \pm 0.096$, respectively. Also, in parents of larvae in the group Semi2, the mentioned indicators were $0.755,0.969$, and 0.718 , respectively, while the estimation in the offspring of this group was $0.745 \pm 0.036,0.925 \pm 0.032$, and $0.705 \pm 0.107$, respectively. Chi-square test showed no significant differences between parents and their larvae for both of the groups. The mean number of effective alleles in the parents and larvae of group Semil was 3.70, and 3.56, respectively. The mean number of effective alleles in the parents and larvae of group Semi2 was 4.40 and 4.37, respectively.

Table 3. Genetic diversity in parents and offspring in two group semi-natural propagation of Hypophthalmichtys molitrix. N.A., Number and size of alleles; Ho, observed heterozygosity; He, expected heterozygosity; Ne, Number of effective alleles

| Loci | Groups | N.A. |  | He |  | Ho |  | Ne |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sample | Semi1 | Semi2 | Semi1 | Semi2 | Semi1 | Semi2 | Semi1 | Semi2 |
| Hmo13 | Parents | 4 | 4 | 0.618 | 0.689 | 0.769 | 0.813 | 2.62 | 3.22 |
|  | Larvae | 4 | 4 | 0.651 | 0.656 | 0.825 | 0.8 | 2.86 | 2.9 |
| Hmo25 | Parents | 6 | 6 | 0.686 | 0.699 | 1 | 1 | 3.18 | 3.32 |
|  | Larvae | 6 | 5 | 0.665 | 0.714 | 1 | 0.988 | 2.98 | 3.5 |
| Hmo26 | Parents | 7 | 7 | 0.738 | 0.811 | 1 | 1 | 3.44 | 5.270 |
|  | Larvae | 7 | 7 | 0.617 | 0.799 | 0.788 | 0.938 | 2.61 | 4.960 |
|  | Harents | 7 | 7 | 0.809 | 0.752 | 1 | 1 | 4.5 | 4.030 |
| Hmo34 | Larvae | 7 | 7 | 0.728 | 0.8 | 0.938 | 1 | 3.67 | 5.000 |
|  | Parents | 8 | 10 | 0.843 | 0.857 | 1 | 1 | 5.28 | 7.010 |
| Hmo39 | Larvae | 8 | 10 | 0.843 | 0.859 | 1 | 0.988 | 6.38 | 7.080 |
|  | Parents | 4 | 4 | 0.711 | 0.719 | 1 | 1 | 3.15 | 3.550 |
|  | Larvae | 4 | 4 | 0.648 | 0.64 | 1 | 1 | 2.88 | 2.770 |
|  | Parents | 6 | 6.33 | 0.714 | 0.755 | 0.962 | 0.969 | 3.7 | 4.400 |
|  | SE | 0.683 | 0.843 | 0.028 | 0.027 | 0.038 | 0.031 | 0.993 | 1.480 |
| Mean | Larvae | 6 | 6.167 | 0.693 | 0.745 | 0.925 | 0.952 | 3.56 | 4.370 |
|  | SE | 0.683 | 0.946 | 0.034 | 0.036 | 0.039 | 0.032 | 1.42 | 1.640 |

## Parent's contribution to offspring

The parentage analysis, using six selected loci, in two groups of Semi1 and Semi2 assigned 100\%
and $95 \%$ of offspring to their parents, respectively. Individual parents, and the number
of larvae produced by each parent, are presented in Table 4, according to parentage assignment in Semi1 and 2. Assuming a balanced participation, Chi-square tests in the Semil group showed significant differences on the number of larvae produced by the sires (and dams), regardless of type of crossing with dams (and sires) ( $p<0.05$ ). In Semi1, $30 \%$ and $32 \%$ of the progeny (more than half of the larvae) belonged to females F1 and F2, respectively. The female F8 had the lowest percentage of the progeny (5\%). Among
males, M4, M5 and M6 produced more than 60\% of larvae (Figure 1), revealing a disproportionate contribution to the progeny. None of the larvae in Semi1 group were attributed to females F3 or F4. Therefore, females F3 or F4 were eliminated from the participating brooders of the group. The mean larvae production in Semil by males and females was 16.32 and 18.7, respectively (Table 5). The variance of larvae production in this group by males and females was 44.34 and 69.78, respectively (Table 5).


Semi2
Figure 1. Parental contribution to offspring production of Hypophthalmichtys molitrix in two groups of semi-natural reproduction system (Semi1 and Semi2).

In the group Semi2, the Chi-square test showed no significant differences between contributing males and females to produce larvae ( $p>0.05$ ). except for the male M9, there was a roughly balanced male participation. although among the females (Figure 1). Most of the larvae ( $>50 \%$ ) were produced by females F13, F14,
and F15. In Semi2 group no progeny was attributed to males M11 and M15. Thus, they were eliminated from the participating males of the group. The mean and variance of larvae reproduction in this group by males and females were calculated respectively as (10.10-0.71) and (7.21-9.96) (Table 4).

Comparing the numbers presented in Table 5 in relation to the effective population size of parents in the both groups, a significant reduction of Ne can be clearly seen in the group Semi1. Assuming full participation of all males and females in Semi1, the expected effective population size would be 12.9 , however this number was actually 7.9 , which is equivalent to a $39 \%$ reduction compared to equally sized families. The decline in the population of Semi 2 group was 9.5\% (Table 5).

The females were in participant with 2-6 males, while the males fertilized 2-5 females, which can be indicative of multiple paternity in this species (Table 4). Qualitative sperm analysis in males of Semi1 and Semi 2 showed that the mean concentrations were $421.66 \pm 6.37$, and $398.5 \pm 12.74$, respectively. Average sperm motility in Semi 1 and Semi 2 was $65.23 \pm 0.45$, and $63.16 \pm 0.35$, respectively (Table 6). Comparing to previous results in other studies of this species, all of the males were able to fertilize the females.

Table 4. Parentage assignment for two groups of Hypophthalmichtys molitrix

| Semi1 | M1 | M2 | M3 | M4 | M5 | M6 | M7 | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 24 |  |  |  |
| F2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 26 |  |  |  |
| F3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| F4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| F5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |
| F6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10 |  |  |  |
| F7 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 |  |  |  |
| F8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |  |  |  |
| Total | 8 | 6 | 4 | 16 | 22 | 21 | 3 | 80 |  |  |  |
| Semi2 | M8 | M9 | M10 | M11 | M12 | M13 | M14 | M15 | M16 | M17 | Total |
| F9 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| F10 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| F11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 |
| F12 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 |
| F13 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 12 |
| F14 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| F15 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 14 |
| F16 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| Total | 7 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 7 | 9 |  |

Table 5. Number of broodstock in each group

| Group | Number of broodstock | Mean contribution of parents |  | Variance of produced larvae |  | $\mathrm{Ne}_{\mathrm{e}}(1)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(2)$ | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathbf{2}) / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{e}}(\mathbf{1})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{s}} \\ \text { (Male) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{K}_{d}$ (Females) | $\begin{gathered} V_{s} \\ \text { (Male) } \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{V}_{d}$ (Females) |  |  |  |
| Semi1 | 15 | 16.32 | 18.7 | 44.34 | 69.78 | 12.9 | 7.9 | 0.61 |
| Semi2 | 18 | 10.10 | 10.71 | 7.21 | 9.96 | 16 | 14.48 | 0.91 |

Kd , mean contribution of males parents to produced larvae, Ks, mean contribution of female parents to produced larvae; Vd, variance of produced larvae for males; Vs, variance of produced larvae for females; effective population sizes using $4(\mathrm{Nm} \times \mathrm{Nf}) /(\mathrm{Nm}+\mathrm{Nf})$, ( $\mathrm{Ne}(1))$, effective population sizes using $\mathrm{Ne}(2)=4(\mathrm{~N}$ $2) /[(\mathrm{Ks}+\mathrm{Vs} / \mathrm{Ks})+(\mathrm{Kd}+\mathrm{Vd} / \mathrm{Kd})-2]$ (Chevassus, 1989) ( Ne (2)) and the ratio of $\mathrm{Ne}(1) / \mathrm{Ne}(2)$.

Table 6. Sperm characteristics of Hypophthalmichtys molitrix. Compared to other studies in the same species

| Species | Mean concentration of <br> sperm $(\mathbf{1 0} 7 \mathbf{m l})$ | Mean motility of sperm (s) | Reference |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H. molitrix | $315 \pm 2$ | $96.1 \pm 1$ (early season) | Khara, Baradaran, Dadras, <br>  <br> Khodadoost (2012) <br> Rahman, Rahman \& Hasan <br> H. molitrix |
|  |  | $36 \pm 9$ | (2011 (2011) |
| H. molitrix | $421.66 \pm 6.37$ | $65.23 \pm 0.45$ | This study, group Semi1 |
| H. molitrix | $398.5 \pm 12.74$ | $63.16 \pm 0.35$ | This study, group Semi2 |

## Discussion

In this study the parentage assignment was successful for about $97 \%$ of all larvae studied. It seems that factors such as the absence of null alleles and using loci with appropriate diversity (proper amount of effective allele size) have led to the efficient performance of the technique on the larvae analyzed. In numerous reports, an appropriate success rate in detecting parents and their contribution to offspring production have been reported using SSRs: Weinman et al. 2014, 95\%sucess; Gheyas et al. 2009, 96.3\%; and Liu et al. 2012 99.6\%. In a microsatellite study on Caspian Salmon (Salmo trutta caspius) discrimination of more than $98 \%$ of brooders was successfully performed (Sourinejad et al., 2011).

The use of a minimum number of microsatellite loci to achieve a high level of parentage assignment power in parental groups is one of the main goals of this technique (Castro et al., 2007). However different factors, such as null alleles, mutations, and genetic linkage between the examined loci, can affect the exclusionary power and consequently the success rate of parentage assignment (Vandeputte et al., 2011). In previous studies, 6-8 microsatellite loci were considered a
reliable number for parentage assignment (Fessehaye et al., 2006). In this study, we tried to minimize the number of loci required for analysis on silver carp (Gheyas et al., 2006), using SSRs markers and preliminary evaluation and selection of the most appropriate loci (in terms of discrimination power; CPE calculation).

In the animal kingdom, fish have the most complex mating systems (Neff 2001). Multiple paternity has also been observed in other species, such as Perches, Gastropods and Paco fish (Piaractus mesoptamicus) (Xue, 2014; Fessehaye et al., 2006; Povh et al., 2010). Mating with a greater number of females increases the chance for male reproductive success, which highlights the role of males as the determinant of the fertilization event. However, the ongoing effort of females for mating with a greater number of males should not be ignored. Besides fish, polyandrous events associated with adaptive behaviors have been reported in a variety of animals (Gowaty, 1994). Females try to maximize adaptation, survival, and fitness through mating with multiple males. which include successful fertilization despite poor reproductive success
in males (poor quality sperm), achieving better genes for their larvae, reproducing offspring with greater genetic diversity (and as a result higher adaptability), and preventing inbreeding (Avise et al., 2002). This event is possible through multiple spawning of females at different intervals (DeWoody \& Avise, 2001). In this study, evaluation of the mating patterns between parents of Semi1 and Semi2 groups revealed that females mated with two or more males, which supports the polyandrous participation hypothesis in silver carp, and this could have occurred in several stages.

According to the results of parent contribution, two males of the second group (M13 and M15) did not participate in reproduction. Comparing the sperm quality in this study with previous studies (Khara et al., 2012, Rahman et al., 2011), the sperm of fish in our study had appropriate motility and concentration values (Table 6). So it does not seem to be related to sperm quality factors, since other parents with similar sperm features participated in reproduction and produced larvae. On the other hand, all of the females produced larvae so it seems no problem with them. However, the incidence of some differences in the values obtained may be attributed to various reasons such as differences in the maturity of parents, in the hormonal stimulation methods, contamination during sperm collecting, differences in concentration estimation methods, different time periods during a reproductive season, and the conditions of parents in terms of nutrition, age, etc. (Khara et al., 2012, Alavi et al., 2010).

In this study, the difference in the participation rate of parents in reproduction (especially for males) and a high variance in family size in Semi1 limited Ne (7.9), when compared to the expected Ne value (12.9) (Table 5). However, in the group Semi2, which had an equal contribution of parents to reproduction, the estimated $\mathrm{Ne}(14.4)$ was closer to the expected value (16). Evaluating the genetic diversity in parents and their progeny showed that despite the decrease in the effective size of broodstock in both groups, the heterozygosity in offspring was maintained at acceptable levels that were similar to the parents' (0.962-0.952 in group Semi1, and $0.969-0.952$ in group Semi2). This issue, would strengthen the hypothesis of reproductive behaviors, such as dissimilar mating to profit the progenies from its effects as an increase in the amount of heterozygosity (Tregenza \& Wedell, 2000), when considering the negative F values reveals the selection in favor of heterozygous individuals. According to heterozygosity-fitness correlations at noncoding genetic markers, heterozygosity is a sign of fitness effects, which is the result of genes distributed through the genome (Hansson et al., 2004).

In general, the microsatellite markers used in this study were useful for assigning parentage with a high degree, and made it possible to estimate Ne during a semi-natural process of propagation. The analysis of parentage assignment suggested an unequal contribution of parents in an unbalanced sex ratio. However, in the group with a balanced sex ratio, a balanced participation of parents in
reproduction was observed, which highlights the importance of sex ratio among males. The use of equal sex ratios of males and females in silver carp, and ensuring the sexual maturity of the brooders and their health status, can benefit the proportional distribution of genetic diversity from parents to the offspring.
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