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Abstract

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of propolis and pollen extract on biochemical and haematological parameters of Onchorhynchus mykiss. One hundred and eighty healthy fish, O. mykiss (mean weight 20.57±4.48 g) were used for growth performance and haematological assays. They were randomly selected, and distributed among fifteen 600-L fiberglass tanks as three treatments (1% Pollen, 1% Propilils, 0.5% Pollen + 0.5% Propilils as groups 1-3, respectively), negative control as group 4 in triplicates at density of 30 fish each.
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After 8 weeks of the experiment, which the fish fed supplemented diets, final weight of all treatments showed no significant difference (p>0.05) as well as WG and DGI. Definitely, all the treatments of the mentioned criteria showed a significant increase (p<0.05) compared to control group. On the other hand, FCR showed a significance difference (p=0.00) among the treatments and control so that its value for the group 3 was the minimum one (1.84±0.05) and followed by group 2 (2.36±0.03). Some criteria containing Hb, RBC, Hct and WBC showed a remarkable increase (p<0.05) in group 3 compared to other groups while MCV and MCH was significantly lower in group 3 (p<0.05).
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Introduction

Growth in the global supply of fish for human consumption has outperformed population growth particularly in the past 50 years, increasing at an average annual rate of 3.2 percent in the period 1961–2013, double that of population growth, resulting in increasing average per capita availability. World per capita apparent fish consumption increased from an average of 9.9 kg in the 1960s to 14.4 kg in the 1990s and 19.7 kg in 2013, with preliminary estimates for 2014 and 2015 pointing towards further growth beyond 20 kg (FAO 2016).

Initially, much of this demand was met by wild-caught fish, but as world fisheries continue to be over-exploited and depleted, aquaculture systems have undergone unprecedented growth, evolving as a significant contributor to meet demands for cultured aquatics (Sapkota, Sapkota, Kucharski, Burke, McKenzie, Walker & Lawrence 2008). Among the fish species, *Onchorhynchus mykiss* has been expanded and increased strongly in recent decades (16% of the world value share of aquatics), becoming the largest single commodity by value in 2013 (FAO 2014). Anyhow the necessity to rear sufficient quantities of resistant fry is becoming crucial to meet the increasing global demands for stocking rainbow trout farms (Soltani, Pirali, Rasoli, Kakoolaki & Shams 2014; Soltani, Kakoolaki & Kisami 2000).

Small amounts of agrichemicals can reach aquaculture ponds, which results in numerous problems caused by oxidative stress in non-target organisms. Substances that can prevent or reverse agrichemical-induced oxidative damage may be used to combat these effects (Ferreira, Rocha, Kreutz, Loro, Marqueze, Koakoski, da Rosa, Gusso, Oliveira & de Abreu 2013). Propolis (bee glue) is a natural dark-coloured, resinous sticky substance produced by honey bees by mixing their own waxes with resins collected from plants, and is used as a sealant and sterilant in their nests (Sforcin, Fernandes, Lopes, Bankova & Funari 2000, Moreira, Dias, Pereira & Estevinho 2008, Kakoolaki, Talas, Cakir, Ciftci & Ozdemir 2013). Propolis has been used since ancient times as a medicine owing to those biological properties as an antifungal, antiprotozoal, antimicrobial, and antiviral agent. Propolis has antioxidant properties (Selamoglu Talas & Gulhan 2009). The main chemical classes found in propolis are flavonoids and phenolics. Bioflavonoids are antioxidant molecules that play important roles in scavenging free radicals, which are produced in neurodegenerative diseases and aging (Selamoglu Talas, Pinar Dundar, Fuat Gulhan, Orun & Kakoolaki 2012). The negative effects after exposure the fish to cypermethrin, could be inverted by adding supplementation propolis. Propolis may improve some biochemical markers associated with oxidative stress in fish brain (Kakoolaki et al. 2013). propolis can improve biochemical and hematologic functions.
of common carp blood, after being exposed to arsenic (Selamoglu Talas et al. 2012).

The aim of this study was to determine the effect of propolis and pollen extract on biochemical and haematological parameters of *O. mykiss*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Fish and experimental design**

One hundred and eighty healthy fish, *O. mykiss* (mean weight 20.57±4.48 g) were used for growth performance and haematological assays. They were randomly selected, and distributed among fifteen 600-L fiberglass tanks as three treatments (1% Pollen, 1% Propilis, 0.5% Pollen + 0.5% Propilis as groups 1-3, respectively), negative control as group 4 in triplicates at density of 30 fish each. The tanks were prefilled with 200-L filtered well-aerated freshwater (water flow: 6 L/h, temperature: 15.50 ± 1.0 and pH: 6.8 ±0.1, dissolved oxygen 7.1, pH 7.8). Fish were fed ad libitum 4 times a day with Iranian Beiza commercial fishmeal with gross energy level of 4.30 cal/g for 8-week containing either pollen (1%), or propolis (1%), or combination of pollen (0.5%) and propolis (0.5%) and control group for 8 weeks. They were kept at the ambient, uncontrolled temperature of 15±1.0 °C under natural photoperiod.

**Crude propolis, pollen and ethanolic-extract**

Propolis and pollen ethanolic-extract was prepared by adding 30 ml of absolute ethanol to 3 g of them in bottles, which were sealed and kept from light and moderately shaken for 1 day at room temperature. The extract was then filtered twice, dried and stored in sealed bottles at 4°C until use (Abd-El-Rhman 2009). They were used in combination with food as 1% during the experiment.

**Blood sampling and serum preparation**

Fish were anaesthetized by immersing the fish in water containing 30 ppm of clove powder, 9 fish were randomly sampled from each group and replicates. The blood samples directly withdrawn from heart of each fish 8 weeks after start of experiment using 1-ml heparinated syringe to evaluate hamatocrit and differential white blood count (Abd-El-Rhman 2009) and non-heparinated syringes (used for serum examinations) connected to 24-gauge needle (Dinakaran Michael, Srinivas, Sailendri & Muthukkaruppan 1994). For serum preparation, 500 µl of drawn blood kept in serological tubes were left the clot to be performed at a refrigerator temperature overnight. The clotted blood was centrifuged at 700 g for 30 min at 4 °C and kept at -20 °C until use.

**Haematological Analysis**

Blood smears were stained with Natt-Herrick’s solution (1:200 for both blood cells) to count RBC (10⁶ mm⁻³) and white blood cells (WBC) (10⁴ mm⁻³) using a hemocytometer (Grant 2015). Giemsa stained blood smears were separately prepared for differential WBC counts (%). Therefore, Two hundred leukocytes, including such types as lymphocytes, monocytes and granulocytes from each prepared smear, were counted under a light microscope (Dacie & Lewis 2001).
In order to measure the Hematocrit (Ht), the blood-filled heparinized tubes were centrifuged at 10000 g for 5 min in a hematocrit centrifuge. The results were then read using a scale hematocrit reader. Hemoglobin (Hb) were calculated by photometric assay of cyanomethemoglobin method and the haematological parameters of mean cell hemoglobin concentration (MCHC), mean cell hemoglobin (MCH) and mean cell volume (MCV) were measured following Dacie and Lewis (2001). The equations for haematological factors are as follows:

$$\text{MCV (fL)} = \frac{[\text{Hct, } \% \times 10]}{\text{RBC, } 10^6 \text{ per mm}^3}\)$$

$$\text{MCH (pg cell}^{-1}) = \frac{[\text{Hb, g/dL} \times 10]}{\text{RBC, } 10^6 \text{ per mm}^3}\)$$

$$\text{MCHC (\%)} = \frac{[\text{Hb, g/dL} \times 100]}{\text{Hct, } \%}\)$$

**Total Plasma Protein (TPP), Albumin and Globulin**

A commercial protein-kit (Pars Azmoon, Tehra, Iran) was used based on the procedure of Biuret reaction to measure TPP content. Nine ml Nacl (0.85%) was added to 0.1 ml plasma in an ependorf micro tubes and 1.9 ml Nacl (0.85%) was added to 0.1 ml standard solution in another micro tube accompanied with 2 ml reagent blank in the third tube. Five ml diluted Biuret solution was added to each tube in triplicates, which were then gently mixed. After 20 min of incubation at room temperature, absorbance was measured with a multi-scan spectrophotometer (WPAS2000-UV/VIS, Cambridge, UK) at 550 nm and TPP values were expressed in g dL$^{-1}$ (Ardó, Yin, Xu, Váradi, Szigeti, Jeney & Jeney 2008). Globulin was calculated by deducing albumin from TPP and Albumin were assayed by colorimetric method using bromocresol green binding procedure (Oskoii, Kohyani, Parseh, Salati & Sadeghi 2012). Additionally, Albumin/globulin (A/G) ratio was determined for the experiment and the control groups (Harikrishnan, Kim, Kim, Balasundaram & Heo 2011).

**Growth Performance**

The initial (4-week) and final (8-week) weights of 15 fish in each group and replications were used to calculate the growth performance according to Harikrishnan, Balasundaram and Heo (2012). This was performed as follows:

$$\text{WG (\%)} = \frac{(W_f-W_i)/W_i}{100}\)$$

Where, WG, W$_f$ and W$_i$ were weight gain, mean final body weight and mean initial body weight, respectively. Additionally, W$_f$ or W$_i$ of each replication was calculated by dividing total fish weight in each tank by the number of fish (Abd-El-Rhman 2009).

$$\text{DGI (\%)} = \frac{[\text{WG} 	imes 100]/(\text{Wi} + \text{Wf})/2]}{t}\)$$

Where, DGI, Wi and Wf were daily growth index, initial body weight and final body weight, respectively.
FCR (feed conversion ratio) = feed supplied (g, dry weight)/body weight gain (g).

Statistical analysis

Multiple group comparisons were performed by means of One-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni's test for post hoc comparison. Statistics analyses and plotting the figures were performed using SPSS software version 16.0 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois, USA) and Excel 2010 software, respectively. The comparisons considered significant at $p<0.05$.

Results

In order to determine the effects of supplemented diets on *O. mykiss*, growth performance of the fish fed basal diet supplemented with Propolis and pollen ethanolic-extract was summarized in Table 1. There was no significant difference ($p>0.05$) was observed among the initial weights of the treatments. After 8 weeks of the experiment, which the fish fed supplemented diets, final weight of all treatments showed no significant difference ($p>0.05$) as well as WG and DGI (Table 1).

Table 1 Growth performance of *Onchorhynchus mykiss* fed basal diet supplemented with Propolis and pollen ethanolic-extract the end of experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth parameters</th>
<th>Doses (mg/kg)</th>
<th>Week 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_i$ (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Pollen</td>
<td>6.3±0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Propolis</td>
<td>6.3±0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% of Pollen &amp; Propolis</td>
<td>6.2±0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.3±0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_f$ (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Pollen</td>
<td>50.1±0.34$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Propolis</td>
<td>50.3±0.35$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% of Pollen &amp; Propolis</td>
<td>50.2±0.36$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>41.4±0.63$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WG (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Pollen</td>
<td>690.36±10.59$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Propolis</td>
<td>675.76±11.01$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% of Pollen &amp; Propolis</td>
<td>714.74±11.31$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>561.25±9.85$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DGI (g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Pollen</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Propolis</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% of Pollen &amp; Propolis</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.0$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Pollen</td>
<td>2.67±0.03$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Propolis</td>
<td>2.36±0.03$^b$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% of Pollen &amp; Propolis</td>
<td>1.84±0.05$^c$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>2.66±0.04$^a$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data expressed as mean ±SE, $n=15$. $W_i$: weight initial, $W_f$: weight final, WG: weight gain, DGI: daily growth index, FCR: feed conversion ratio. In each column and growth
parameter, different letters indicate significant difference among concentrations (P< 0.05) by Bonferroni’s test.

Definitely, all the treatments of the mentioned criteria showed a significant increase (p< 0.05) compared to control group. On the other hand, FCR showed a significance difference (p= 0.00) among the treatments and control so that its value for the group 3 was the minimum one (1.84±0.05) and followed by group 2 (2.36±0.03), which that of the former showed a lower value. There was no significance difference (p> 0.05) between the group 1 and control.

The plasma parameters were shown in Fig. 1. There was no significant difference (p> 0.05) between groups 1 and 2 of TPP while the maximum value (3.2±0.2) was calculated for group 3 (Fig. 1). No significant difference (p> 0.05) was observed among the groups of globulin. A significant increase (p< 0.05) was shown in group 3 of albumin while no significant difference (p> 0.05) was observed among other groups (Fig. 1).

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1** Plasma parameters, protein (g/dL), globulin (g/dL) and albumin (g/dL) of groups fed with Propolis and pollen ethanolic-extract supplemented diets at different concentrations. Data expressed as mean ± SE (n=9). In same color, different letters indicate significant difference (P< 0.05) by Bonferroni’s test.

Table 2 shows the haematological parameters. Some criteria containing Hb, RBC, Hct and WBC showed a remarkable increase (p< 0.05) in group 3 compared to other groups while MCV and MCH was significantly lower in group 3 (p< 0.05).
Table 2 Haematological indices of *Onchorhynchus mykiss* fed supplemented diets after 8 weeks of feeding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haematological indices</th>
<th>1% Pollen</th>
<th>1% Proplis</th>
<th>0.5% of Pollen &amp; Propolis</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hb (g dl⁻¹)</td>
<td>8.1±0.2ᵃ</td>
<td>8.6±0.1ᵃ</td>
<td>9.2±0.1ᵇ</td>
<td>8.2±0.2ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC (×10⁶ mm⁻³)</td>
<td>1.5±0.0ᵃ</td>
<td>1.8±0.0ᵇ</td>
<td>2.5±0.1ᶜ</td>
<td>2.0±0.0ᵇ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hct (%)</td>
<td>23.2±0.2ᵃ</td>
<td>24.1±1.3ᵃ</td>
<td>28.7±0.6ᵇ</td>
<td>24.5±0.5ᵃ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCV (fL)</td>
<td>164.9±2.5ᵃ</td>
<td>149.7±3.3ᵇ</td>
<td>116.3±4.19ᶜ</td>
<td>123.3±3.5ᵈ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH (pg cell⁻¹)</td>
<td>53.0±1.0ᵃ</td>
<td>48.4±1.2ᵇ</td>
<td>37.5±1.4ᶜ</td>
<td>41.1±0.7ᶜ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCHC (%)</td>
<td>32.1±0.2</td>
<td>32.3±0.2</td>
<td>32.2±0.2</td>
<td>33.4±0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (×10⁴ mm⁻³)</td>
<td>50.2±0.7ᵃ</td>
<td>55.4.0±0.7ᵇ</td>
<td>67.8±0.7ᶜ</td>
<td>56.3±1.0ᵇ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value expressed as mean ±SE (n=9). For each index, different letters indicate significant difference among concentrations (P< 0.05) by Bonferroni's test.

**Discussion**

This study highlights pollen and propolis extracts as a food additive for *O. mykiss*, which demonstrated improved growth performance. In this study, we demonstrated that propolis and Pollen extracts had effect on growth performance as final weight of *O. mykiss* of whole treatments either fed propolis or pollen compared to control group. The higher intestinal absorption because of longer villi of digestive tract of the fish (Wang, Li, Wang, Xin & Wang 2007), efficacy of conversion the feed to protein (Attia, Al-Hanoun, Tag El-Din, Bovera & Shewika 2011). This higher intestinal absorption may be due to longer villi of digestive tract of the fish for trapping better propolis and pollen (Wang et al. 2007) or efficacy of conversion the feed to protein (Attia et al. 2011). From the data in table 1, it is apparent that the feed conversion ratio for the fish fed diet supplemented with a mixture of propolis and pollen (0.5% of Pollen & Propolis) was more effective on decreasing the feed consumption with increasing the weight compared to the fish fed propolis or pollen alone. This growth performance, which occurred with the mixture of pollen and propolis could be due to pollen and propolis contents such as vitamins, minerals, enzymes or coenzymes, which promote food digestion (Xu, Sun, Dong & Zhang 2009). On the other hand, it may be that the dose of 1% of the extract had inverse effect on growth of *O. mykiss*. Selamoglu Talas and Gulhan (2009) confirm that the greater dose of propolis has inverse effect on growth of *O. mykiss*. Against, A research (El-Asely, Abbass & Austin 2014) conducted on *Oreochromis niloticus* showed significant increase in final weight with 1% pollen extract. Ferreira et al. (2013) showed that the antioxidant properties of propolis and pollen are viable alternatives with low environmental impact on catfish, *Rhamdia*.
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They showed increases in the enzymatic activities, which have more protective effects of bee products against oxidative stress. A research confirmed that the decline of the albumin portions which were most significantly associated with the hypoproteinaemia. The low level of albumin may be the result of losses from the skin lesions, an increased catabolism in acute inflammation or reduced synthesis due to hepatopathy, or may be related to a renal damage (Řehulka 2002). A drop in the values of RBC and Hb, concerning the symptoms of anaemia (Řehulka 2002) and nutritional deficiency or disease of fish is always associated with decline of Hct (El-Asely et al. 2014). Therefore, the haematological examination can shows the fish health status. In this study the value of RBC of the fish fed with 1% Pollen and 1% Propolis was significantly lower than control group while MCV and MCH were higher, which shows an anaemia occurred in the fish. These symptoms could be due to the effect of higher concentrations of propolis and pollen extract on haematopoiesis centers in *O. mykiss*. Decrease in level of RBC, Hb and Hct is associated with higher doses of propolis in *O. mykiss* may be an sign of anemia with inhibition of erythropoiesis in the hemopoetic organs. In addition, increases in MCV, MCH and MHCH values indicated that the anemia was a macrocytic type (Selamoglu Talas & Gulhan 2009). As this results showed, the albumin and protein levels of plasma were increased in treatment-dependent number and was significantly higher than that of the control group. This increase shows a positive effect of pollen and propolis at the study concentration on fish health status. It is concluded that the diet supplemented with mixture of 0.5% pollen and 0.5% propolis is more effective than 1% pollen or 1% propolis alone on *O. mykiss* growth performance as well as plasma and haematological parameters, which show the fish health status.
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اثر عصاره بره موم و گرده عسل بر پارامترهای بیوشیمیایی و خون شناسی ماهی قزل آلا

چکیده
هدف از این مطالعه تعیین اثر عصاره بره موم و گرده در پارامترهای بیوشیمیایی و خون ماهی قزل آلا بود. یک صد و هشتاد ماهی سالم قزل آلا با متوسط وزن 4/27 ± 0/58 گرم برای تعیین رشد و سنجش خون استفاده شد. آنها به طور تصادفی انتخاب شدند و در میان پانزده مخازن فایبر گلاس 600 لیتری به عنوان سه تیمار (1/1% بره موم، 0/5% گرده و 0/5% بره موم) تقسیم شدند. عوامل گروه های 1-3 و کنترل منفی به عنوان گروه 4 در سه تکرار در طول 30 ماهی، بعد از گذشت 8 هفته از این آزمایش که ماهی ها با رژیم غذایی بایو تغذیه شدند، وسیعی به وسیعی میکروسب و ناشی از تومور های میکروسم در تمام تیمارها با یکدیگر تفاوت معنی داری (0/05>P) نشان داد. قطعاً تمام تیمارها از معیارهای ایشان شده افزایش معنی دار (0/05>P) نسبت به گروه کنترل نشان داد. از سوی دیگر، ضریب تبدیل غذایی تفاوت معنی داری (0/05>P) بین تیمارها و شاهد نشان داد به طوری که مقدار حداقل آن در گروه 3 (8/18±0/4) بود و براز از آن در گروه 2 (3/94±0/36) مشخص شد. برخی از معیارهای کلیه و همچنین خونی و ویتامین های مورد نظر در معیارهای ایشان که در مورد گروه 2 در معیارهای ایشان که در مورد گروه 3 نسبت به سایر گروه ها از خود نشان داد در حالی که در گروه 1 نسبت (0/05>P) به طور قابل توجهی پایین تر بود.
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